

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,197	11/05/2003	Steve Ghertner	07006.1011	7103	
35856	7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER		
LAVA GROUP LAW BY SMITH & FROHWEIN, LLC P.O. BOX 88148			FETSUGA,	FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
	ATLANTA, GA 30356		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3751		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/702,197	GHERTNER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	ay 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,22-32 and 39-43</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 22-32 and 39-43</u> are subject to re	striction and/or election requirem	nent.					
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3751

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4 and 39-43, drawn to a leak detection apparatus, classified in class 4, subclass 314.
- II. Claims 22-32, drawn to a method for leak detection, classified in class 4, subclass 661.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a process not requiring a standard fill time to be determined.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification which would lead to divergent fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,197 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751